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Introduction
Wounds with exposed tendons and bone present a difficult treatment challenge, particularly when, as in the case of the patient in this case study, the wound is also associated with pain. Wound bed pain is a result of the nerve ending exposure and treatment is simple with use of a ‘wet’ dressing (Flanagan 1997) such as a hydrogel which bathes the nerve endings and can soothe the pain. ‘Dry’ dressings will create a drawing effect on the wound bed and will potentially increase the pain.

The patient
This study reviews the case of Mr P, an 81 year old man with a large area of necrosis on the back of his right hand due to a failed venflon insertion for antibiotic therapy. He also had a necrotic left heel as a result of pressure damage. Initially, both of these areas were softened with an amorphous hydrogel, and then further debrided with larval therapy. Although initially this was found to be efficient, as the tendon that was now exposed in the right hand became dried, the larvae failed to thrive. Tendon tissue is susceptible to dehydration and during exposure quickly loses its viability which inhibits cell proliferation. These effects are counteracted by keeping the exposed tendon segments moist.

Wounds with exposed tendon, bone present a difficult treatment challenge, particularly when, as in this case, the wound is also associated with pain.

It is vital that exposed tendons maintain a moist environment to prevent dessication and to allow movement for exercising. The nurse needed to select a dressing that would gently remove the slough without damaging the tendons and surrounding tissue, maintain a moist environment to encourage healing without dessication, and most importantly offer the patient effective pain relief, thus allowing him movement for exercising.

An additional complication was the presence of a necrotic heel as a result of pressure damage. Again, a standard amorphous hydrogel and larval therapy were the chosen regimen. The hydrogel failed to soften the necrosis, and the larvae suffocated under pressure of the heel.

Management
Reviewing the available wound care products the nurse decided to apply ActiFormCool®, a new sheet hydrogel, was selected for pain relief and to maintain moisture balance (Hampton S. 2004). The wounds were assessed for pain, using a Pain VAS and were photographed at every dressing change in order to monitor the healing rates.

Results
The exposed tendon remained clean and the wound healed without problem. ActiFormCool® provided the ideal wound healing environment whilst still providing pain relief that no other dressing was able to deliver.

Conclusion
Wound dressings can have a profound effect on the repair process and patient quality of life (Armitage M. 2004). ActiFormCool® has the two attributes that would support a pain free wound environment – it would moisten the nerve endings and would not ‘draw’ on the wound bed, leading to a potential reduction of pain. The successful cleansing of the wound, effective moisture balance leading to full healing, and the reduction of pain without analgesia and complication enabled the nurses to provide an improved quality of life for this patient.

Table 1. Aims of treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To reduce slough and prepare the wound for healing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To reduce pain and maceration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To absorb exudate, but keep tendons moist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To reduce the wound size by providing an optimum wound healing environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To allow hand flexibility for exercising</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rationale for selection of dressing regime
The aims of treatment (Table 1) were intended to address the above issues.